



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 074451.P110 3421

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/658,393 09/08/2000 Kathrin Berkner EXAMINER 7590 03/30/2006 Michael J Mallie LEE, TOMMY D Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 2625 Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/658,393	BERKNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Thomas D. Lee	2025		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILEO WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply orion	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		ore or ano amai rejection, c	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered by	ecause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			coausc	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.		
NOTE: see ATTACHMENT. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a	1 7			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ol>				
non-allowable claim(s).				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the proposed amendment (s): a)	igtizem will not be entered, or b) $igsqcup$ wided below or appended.	rill be entered and an e	explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,38-47,84-86 and 119-123</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.	
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:	
see ATTACHMENT.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
13. Other:	, 2,2	$\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$	1	
		MAX	2	
		Thomas D Lee		

Primary Examiner
Technology Division 2625

Application/Control Number: 09/658,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

## **ATTACHMENT**

1. Regarding Item no. 3, the proposed amendments to base claims 1, 39, 119 and 120 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The claims now recite the sharpening or smoothing of *text and image regions* of image data corresponding to an input image in a wavelet domain, which was not previously recited.

2. Regarding Item no. 11, applicant's arguments in response to the prior rejection of claims 84-86 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) (see pages 7 and 8 of current amendment) are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant asserts that the cited reference (U.S. Patent 5,497,777 (Abdel-Malek)) merely processes wavelet coefficients to reconstruct the image after speckle noise has been removed, and thus fails to describe or suggest further processing image coefficient data after the threshold processor and before the inverse wavelet transform, i.e., fails to describe or suggest "rescaling coefficients by filtering coefficients after thresholding." However, as set forth in the prior Office action mailed December 9, 2005 (see *Response to Arguments*, on page 2), rescaling, as disclosed in applicant's specification, at page 23, lines 12-13, corresponds to multiplication of coefficients by a multiplication factor. In Abdel-Malek, each coefficient is multiplied by a multiplication factor (column 6, lines 25-35), thereby providing a rescaling of the coefficients.

As for the remaining claims, applicant's arguments (see pages 8-14 of current amendment) are not considered because such arguments are based on the claims as amended to overcome the prior rejections. As mentioned above, the amendments to

Art Unit: 2625

the claims will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas D. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas D Lee

Primary Examiner

Technology Division 2625

tdl

March 24, 2006